Policy on Student Learning Assessment and Quality in Undergraduate Education Assessment Plan for General Education Competencies

Submitted: June 26, 2023 Institution: Mountain Empire Community College Contact Name and Email: Natasha Tabor, <u>ntabor@mecc.edu</u>; Bill Brownsberger, S.T.D. <u>wbrownsberger@mecc.edu</u>

MECC identifies college-level general education competencies in the areas of Critical Thinking, Written Communication, Civic Engagement, Professional Readiness, Quantitative Literacy and Scientific Literacy and adheres to Virginia Community College System (VCCS) policies 2.4.C General Education and 5.1 Curricula pertaining to the rationale for general education required in each of its associate degree programs. The following table outlines the College's plan to assess each competency:

| Competency | Critical Thinking | Civic Engagement | Professional Readiness | Quantitative Literacy | Scientific Literacy | Written Communication |
|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Name | | | | | | |
| Definition | The ability to use | The ability to contribute to | The ability to work well with | The ability to perform | The ability to apply the | The ability to develop, |
| | information, ideas, and | the civic life and well-being | others and display | accurate calculations, | scientific method and related | convey, and exchange ideas |
| | arguments from relevant | of local, national, and global | situationally and culturally | interpret quantitative | concepts and principles to | in writing, as appropriate to a |
| | perspectives to make sense | communities as both a social | appropriate demeanor and | information, apply and | make informed decisions and | given context and audience. |
| | of complex issues and solve | responsibility and a life-long | behavior. | analyze relevant numerical | engage with issues related to | |
| | problems. | learning process. | | data, and use results to | the natural, physical, and | |
| | | | | support conclusions. | social world. | |
| Outcome(s) | Points of View: identify | Civic Knowledge: | Interpersonal | Identify and Evaluate: | • Explain: Map the steps of | • Purpose and Focus: clearly |
| | and evaluate relevant and | Summarize fundamental | Communication: ability to | determine whether the | the scientific method of | identifies the purpose of |
| | diverse points of view | principles and debates | maintain open, effective, | source of the information | inquiry leading to | the message and focuses |
| | • Evidence: utilize effective | about democracy and | and professional | is authentic, valid, and | evidenced-based | the delivery to the |
| | search strategies and | citizenship, both within | communications | reliable. | knowledge. | audience |
| | research methods to | the United States and in | Workplace Demeanor: | Interpret: explain | Identify: Recognize the | • Content: Uses appropriate |
| | gather sufficient, relevant, | other countries | ability to demonstrate | numerical information | elements of research | and relevant content to |
| | authoritative, and reliable | Civic Identity: Reflect on | appropriate workplace | presented in mathematical | design. | illustrate main ideas |
| | information from | personal social/civic | and classroom demeanor | forms (e.g., equations, | • Interpret: Determine if a | Organization: Organizes |
| | divergent perspectives | identity and how that | and behavior; | graphs, diagrams, tables, | research design is | and presents a main idea |
| | Interpretations/ | identity differs from | • Teamwork: ability to work | words) | correlational, descriptive, | clearly and concisely with |
| | Inferences: evaluate and | others in their | effectively with others on | Represent: convert | experimental, and/or a | a basic structure |
| | analyze ideas, arguments, | communities | a task in a group or a team | relevant information into | review. | Language and Style: uses |
| | assumptions, and | • Civic Discourse: Deliberate | to achieve a common goal | various mathematical | Synthesize: Plan, design, | standard American |
| | evidence | on issues and problems to | while maintaining | forms (e.g., equations, | and conduct scientific | English, and accepted, |

| Competency | Critical Thinking | Civic Engagement | Professional Readiness | Quantitative Literacy | Scientific Literacy | Written Communication |
|------------|--|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Name | | | | | | |
| | Implications/Conclusions: formulate logical and informed conclusions or solve problems based on the analysis and interpretation of information | advance or achieve a civic aim Diversity in Civic Life: Compare and contrast diverse feelings, perspectives, and life experiences; Analyze the strength that such diversity of opinions brings to civic life Ethical Reasoning: Examine the ethical implications of community and civic actions and decisions Civic Responsibility: Assess and respond to civic, social, environmental, or economic challenges at local, national, or global levels Social Justice: Identify personal and collective actions that could be taken to address injustices in society | constructive interpersonal relationships Creative Problem-Solving: ability to solve a challenge or program through innovative ways Ethical Reasoning: Ethical Reasoning is reasoning about right and wrong human conduct. It requires students to be able to assess their own ethical values and the social context of problems, recognize ethical issues in a variety of settings, think about how different ethical perspectives might be applied to ethical dilemmas, and consider the ramifications of alternative actions. Students' ethical self-identity evolves as they practice ethical decisionmaking skills and learn how to describe and analyze positions on ethical issues. (AAC&U definition) Leadership: Discern and describe their personal leadership style, strengths, and limitations Cultural awareness, sensitivity: Recognize their own multiple identities, | graphs, diagrams, tables, words) • Calculate: accurately solve mathematical problems • Apply and Analyze: make judgments and draw relevant conclusions from quantitative analysis of data and predict future trends when appropriate • Communicate: use quantitative evidence to support a position or clarify a purpose orally or in writing using appropriate language, symbolism, data, and graphs | investigations, demonstrating an understanding of discipline-related concepts, the scientific method, and research design in the collection of empirical evidence. Apply: Use empirical information to draw an evidence-based conclusion. Communicate: Share results and/or conclusions, drawn from empirical information, to the intended audience. Recognize: Identify how the independent variable (or the cause) impacts the dependent variable (or the effect) to explain cause and effect relationships. Describe: Demonstrate an understanding of what experimental controls are and why they are important. Evaluate: Distinguish a scientific argument from a non-scientific argument. Communicate: Describe the impact that technology has had on science, and vice-versa. Differentiate: Define and compare inductive, | conventional grammar and mechanics |

| Competency | Critical Thinking | Civic Engagement | Professional Readiness | Quantitative Literacy | Scientific Literacy | Written Communication | | |
|------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| Name | | | | | | | | |
| | | | experiences and biases | | deductive, and causal | | | |
| | | | and how these affect their | | forms of reasoning. | | | |
| | | | ability to lead | | Distinguish: Differentiate | | | |
| | | | | | between the concepts of | | | |
| | | | | | hypothesis, theory, and | | | |
| | | | | | paradigm. | | | |
| | | | | | Clarify: Communicate the | | | |
| | | | | | limitations of science, and | | | |
| | | | | | how this may impact | | | |
| | | | | | public perception and | | | |
| | | | | | acceptance of empirical | | | |
| | | | | | evidence. | | | |
| | | | | | Integrate: Create a | | | |
| | | | | | product, based on the | | | |
| | | | | | knowledge and skills | | | |
| | | | | | learned in {discipline- | | | |
| | | | | | related} science and/or | | | |
| | | | | | technology. | | | |
| Goals | Degree graduates will locate, | Degree graduates will | Degree graduates will | Degree graduates will | Degree graduates will | Degree graduates will | | |
| | evaluate, interpret, and | demonstrate the knowledge | demonstrate skills important | calculate, interpret, and use | recognize and know how to | express themselves | | |
| | combine information to | and civic values necessary to | for a successful transition | numerical and quantitative | use the scientific method, | effectively in a variety of | | |
| | reach well-reasoned | become informed and | into the workplace and | information in a variety of | and to evaluate empirical | written forms. | | |
| | conclusions or solutions. | contributing participants in a | pursuit of further education. | settings. | information. | | | |
| | | democratic society. | | | | | | |
| Method(s) | - | ssess its incoming and graduatin | - | | | | | |
| | | ommunity College and is now use | | - | - | | | |
| | |) This practice allows the College he new General Education criter | | - | | | | |
| | | led and all faculty were provided | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | assessment team solicited help in | | | - | | | |
| | | - | | | - | interna were received, the | | |
| | assessment team met to review each question and to determine how questions contributed to the defined constructs. (Construct Validity Index= 0.82) | | | | | | | |
| | The revised assessment was n | iloted in the summer and fall of 2 | 2019 to provide for item analysis | . Revisions were made to the in | strument after the item analysis | was completed. A question | | |
| | | less than 0.40 was considered "w | | | | | | |
| | | ised every year since 2019. Item- | • • | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | For the overall STAGE score, so | cores for all 6 areas are added to | gether to provide a composite so | core, ranging from 0-60. To me | et the College's definition of suc | cess. results for graduating | | |
| | | | o 1 | , | 0 | , , , | | |

| | students would average 7 or above on each component of the STAGE assessment. By analyzing this data by competency area, the College is able to determine areas where more focus for improvement is needed. |
|------------------------------|---|
| | Secondary Method: College-level objectives/competencies are included in each course syllabi, including general education coursework (MECC Policy 4.9). Students who successfully complete those courses are considered to have met the competencies through course-level assessments such as exams, presentations, papers, and projects. Specific courses that best align with and measure each competency have been identified, as outlined on the General Education Curriculum Matrix developed for each degree program. For example, five courses in the Administrative Support Technology program address civic engagement: AST 243, AST 244, AST 290, BUS 241, and ENG 111. (see Appendix E) Through the College's Academic Program Planning & Assessment process, individual program-level outcomes are aligned with the general education and program-level outcomes through the course-mapping process. Assessment methods are identified, and outcomes are reported in that process, including program-level STAGE results. |
| | Tertiary Method(s): MECC will further utilize a third-party assessment of professional readiness by embedding the Center for Work Ethic Development's Certificate of Work Ethic Proficiency in each of its AAS degree programs (non-transfer). Based on the Center's soft skills curriculum, professional readiness will be reiterated through teaching the values of reliability, professionalism, positivity, initiative, respect, integrity, and gratitude, with particular emphasis on teamwork, ethical reasoning, sensitivity, and interpersonal communication. |
| | A survey of Assessment of Civic Engagement (ACE) was developed to determine students' self-reported level of engagement prior to and during their enrollment at MECC. The College piloted this survey in the Spring of 2018 and repeated its use in the Spring of 2019 and 2023. The survey was not used in 2020-2022 due to COVID-19. A copy of the ACE Survey, along with 2023 results, is attached (Appendix C). |
| Schedule | MECC chooses to collect and analyze data for each of the six competencies utilizing its Primary Method of assessment on an annual basis . Students who have applied for graduation from a degree program are expected to participate in several surveys and assessments before graduation. The assessments administered include the College's STAGE assessment, the Assessment of Civic Engagement Survey, and a Graduate Satisfaction Survey. Secondary Method assessments are on an ongoing basis and are a part of the College's annual planning and assessment process. Tertiary Methods are utilized each semester/academic year, with results analyzed each year during the annual planning and assessment process. |
| Communication of findings | Summaries of results are analyzed by the College's Assessment team and provided to the Vice President of Academic Affairs and Workforce Solutions, Academic Deans, and Faculty for use during annual program planning and assessment. This information is made available no later than August 31 following the administration of STAGE in April for use in the program planning cycle. For each of the six areas of general education measured by STAGE, there are 10 questions (total 60). Results are broken down by division and program level, as well as an overall summary. Data can be disaggregated by subgroups as needed. A summary sample of findings for 2021-2022 is attached as Appendix B for further evidence of how findings are communicated and used for continuous improvement. |
| | Data obtained through Secondary and Tertiary Methods are communicated through the program planning process when results of assessments are examined to determine the course and program-level outcomes. |
| | Program-level data are compiled by the lead faculty for each program and embedded within the Program Planning & Assessment materials. Planning documents are shared with the Division Dean and the Vice President of Academic Affairs & Workforce Solutions. During the 3-year Curricula Review process, all planning and assessment documents are reviewed by a subcommittee of the College's Planning & Implementation (P&I Committee) team to determine compliance with program review, program viability, and recommendations for improvement. Findings of these subcommittees are reported to the P&I Committee, the Vice President of Academic Affairs & Workforce Solutions, and the President's cabinet. |
| Use of findings | Each year, data are collected to determine student performance in core competency areas. Included in these data are the results of STAGE, Civic Engagement Surveys, and individualized programmatic/course-level artifacts. The data are compiled by program lead faculty and reported in the annual program planning documents which connect the student learning outcomes to the core competencies. Annual program review allows lead faculty to develop strategies for improvement that may be necessary based on the data. MECC identifies expected general |

education outcomes for each of its academic programs pursuant to the policy, assesses the outcomes at the college and program levels, and the results of the assessments are used to improve teaching and learning on ongoing basis.

To accompany the plans (only one response required):

Please provide an honest, reflective assessment of your institution's capacity to carry out these plans. What pieces are already in place? In what way(s) are you well positioned to do what you've described? What challenges do you anticipate? What other concerns do you have?

Mountain Empire Community College has utilized STAGE as its primary method of internal assessment of general education for more than a decade. Although the STAGE assessment has been revised over the past few years to address the competencies recently adopted, its processes for delivery and use of results remain unchanged. A General Education Assessment Workgroup was established in Fall 2018 to begin the review and revision of STAGE based on the new competencies. The development of new questions, as well as the review of existing questions, involved input, and participation from a wide variety of faculty across all curricula. This work continued through the Fall of 2019 in order to address outcomes identified by the VCCS Resource Guide. The result of the current assessment is a robust set of questions and scenarios designed to measure each of the six competencies approved by the VCCS in its January 2019 meeting. In order to properly vet each question, the new instrument was used in the Summer and Fall semesters of 2019 to assess all incoming students during their SDV 100 classes. Revisions by the workgroup have been made based on an item analysis of the piloted version. The College is currently utilizing the revised STAGE assessment in SDV 100 classes and prior to graduation.

Likewise, the College's secondary methods of assessment are well established in the College's Planning and Assessment processes. Each associate degree, diploma, and certificate program at MECC is reviewed by the program lead on an annual basis (MECC Policy 6.1-6.7). The process of program planning includes gathering and analyzing course-level data within the academic discipline, including face-to-face, off-campus, hybrid, and distance courses. Furthermore, all MECC course syllabi include measurable student learning outcomes. All syllabi are reviewed by the appropriate academic dean each semester pursuant to MECC policy (MECC Policy 4.9).

For each AAS degree program (non-transfer), the embedding of the Work Ethic Proficiency Exam is either complete or is underway. Some programs have chosen to add a specific course to their curricula to cover not only the required components of the Work Ethic curriculum but also program-specific competencies. For example, IND 149, Workplace Ethics, was added to several Industrial Technology programs to emphasize the requirements of professional readiness in industry.

It is an institutional expectation that student learning outcomes assessment involves significant direct measures of student performance supported by other indirect measures. Examples of direct measures of student learning include products of student work such as pre-test and post-test evaluations, standardized tests, performance on licensure exams, blind-scored essay tests, internal or external juried reviews of student work, case study/problems and capstone papers, projects, or presentations. Examples of indirect measures include student questionnaires and surveys, student evaluation of instruction, and employer/advisory committee feedback.

Appendix A

STAGE (Schoch-Tucker Assessment of General Education) Revised Jan 2020

① This is a preview of the published version of the quiz

Started: Jun 26 at 2:56pm

Quiz Instructions

STAGE is an objective measurement of general education. Unlike nationally developed tests, STAGE is based on the VCCS definition of general education which includes six competencies: Civic Engagement, Critical Thinking, Professional Readiness, Quantitative Literacy, Scientific Literacy, and Written Communication.

STAGE is composed of 70 objective questions (ten questions for each of the six areas of general education) <u>plus</u> an additional ten questions in the area of Information Literacy. The information obtained through your participation in the assessment is important in helping us to determine academic and professional growth as a result of attending MECC. Your participation also allows us to use results for program review. All student information obtained from this assessment will be kept completely confidential.

| Question 1 | 1 pts |
|---|-------|
| You witness a member of the LBGTQ community being harassed. Which of the following would be the socially responsible thing to do? | |
| ○ Refrain from getting involved so as to not further inflame the situation. | |
| ○ Listen to both sides and try to mediate. | |
| ○ Speak as forcefully as you can in order to be heard. | |
| ○ Video the event on your phone and post it on social media. | |

Question 2

You have learned that Congress is decreasing funding in the areas of education, health care, and unemployment benefits. You strongly oppose these actions. Which is your <u>least</u> effective course of action?

○ write a letter to your member of Congress

- $\bigcirc\,$ voting in the upcoming election
- $\bigcirc\,$ complain on social media

 $\bigcirc\,$ call your member of Congress

Question 3

Your region has experienced the worst flooding in years. You are a member of a community action group tasked to determine how best to help those in your area in need. Your first course of action to achieve your civic aim would be to:

assess immediate needs.

determine resources available.

evaluate the effectiveness of your action.

locate additional resources.

1 pts

1 pts

Appendix **B**

Sample Summary of Results and Use of Results (Prior Competencies)

STAGE measures the 6 areas of general education, as defined by the Virginia Community College System. For each of the six areas, there are 10 questions (total of 60). Scores for each area of competency range from 0-10, with averages presented in the table above. For the overall STAGE score, scores for all the 6 areas of general education are added together to produce a composite score... with a possible range from 0-60. Averages for overall STAGE scores are presented in the table. In addition, the table presents valueadded results between incoming freshmen and graduating students for each of the 6 areas of general education and for students' overall STAGE scores.

| | 2021-2022 Freshman N= 309 | 2021– 2022 Graduates N= 225 | Value- Added |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Civic Engagement | 7.35 | 8.05 | 9.52% |
| Critical Thinking Skills | 7.34 | 8.01 | 9.13% |
| Professional Readiness | 7.27 | 8.12 | 11.69% |
| Quantitative Literacy | 4.50 | 5.54 | 23.11% |
| Scientific Literacy | 5.11 | 6.64 | 29.94% |
| Written Communication | 5.75 | 7.26 | 32.17% |
| Overall STAGE Score | 37.33 | 43.51 | 16.56% |

The results of STAGE 2021-2022 (as noted above) show that the College assessed 309 freshmen during this timeframe. To determine improvement levels in each of the general education competencies, 225 associate degree graduates were assessed prior to graduation. Incoming Freshmen scored an average of 7.34 on Critical Thinking Skills with graduates scoring 8.01 (out of 10). The "value-added" to the Critical Thinking Skills category indicates an increase of 9.13% as a result of their college experience. To meet the College's definition of success, results for graduating students would average 7 or above on each component of the STAGE assessment. By analyzing this data by competency area, the College is able to determine areas where more focus for improvement is needed.

Based on the past STAGE assessment results for 2019, the average value-added percentage on Quantitative Literacy was -2.46%. As a result, the College has taken additional measures to focus on this particular competency by encouraging all faculty to embed assignments and activities, scored using AAC&U's QL VALUE Rubric, related to quantitative reasoning (now "Quantitative Literacy") in courses during each semester of a student's associate's degree program. This is

being done through MECC's QEP, Applying Mathematical Principles to Everyday Decisions—Get AMPED! Since the 2019 results of an example of how STAGE results are used to make program improvements can be found in this excerpt of the 2021-2022 Planning and Assessment document for the **General Studies Associate of Arts & Sciences degree**:

| Collecting Core | Arts and Sciences will use the STAGE assessment to collect and analyze student |
|--------------------------|--|
| Competency Data | mastery of general education competencies. |
| Strategy to accomplish | STAGE is administered each year to incoming freshman and graduating |
| this goal | students, and value added is assessed. |
| Relationship to | Success |
| Strategic Goals | |
| How results of goal will | Pre and Post analysis will offer baseline data in measuring student growth in |
| be measured | general education competencies. |
| Will additional funds be | No |
| needed? | |
| If so, identify amount | NA |
| and source | |
| Who will lead this | Dr. Ken Tucker |
| effort? | |
| Target date for | Fall 2022 |
| completion? | |
| What were the | The assessment was administered, and results were compiled and analyzed. |
| outcomes or results of | Because there was a slight decrease in Quantitative Reasoning, it will be |
| this goal? | emphasized across the curriculum. |
| If the goal was unmet | The Goal was met, and STAGE data will be used to inform future planning goals. |
| or is ongoing, what are | |
| the plans for | |
| improvement? | |

Another example of how the focus on these data has driven the planning process can be found in an excerpt of the 2020-2021 Academic Planning and Assessment document for the **AAS in Pre-Teacher Education**:

| Student Learning Outcome 2 | Students will compute, analyze, and communicate quantitative data using mathematical and logical methods to solve problems (e.g., tables, graphs, formulas, or other relevant formats). |
|--|--|
| Program Learning Outcomes | 1,2,3,4 |
| (PLOs) supported by SLO 2 | |
| Program Level students will | Degree |
| accomplish this objective | |
| Relationship to Strategic Goals | Student Success |
| Strategies to accomplish this | Faculty will introduce students to these concepts through a |
| outcome | major assignment in their courses. |
| How results of this SLO will be | 70% of students will earn a 70% or higher on a major |
| measured | assignment completed in identified arts and science courses |
| | based on the curriculum map. * |
| Will additional funds be | No |
| needed? | |
| If so, identify amount and | NA |
| source | |
| Who will lead this effort? | Ms. Miranda Oaks |
| Target date for completion? | Fall 2021 |
| What were the outcomes or | 80% of students earned a 70% or higher on a major |
| results of this goal? | assignment completed in identified arts and science courses |
| | based on the curriculum map. |

*Example of curriculum map see Appendix D

Spring 2023 Results of

MECC's Assessment of Civic Engagement (ACE)

Please respond to the following statements by indicating <u>yes</u> or <u>no</u> in both columns (<u>Before Attending MECC</u> and <u>During Your Time at MECC</u>).

| Reporting the number "yes" responses | Before Attending MECC N=109 | During Your Time at MECC N=109 | Value Added |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|
| 1) Worked together with someone or some group to solve a problem in the community where you live? | 64 | 42 | -22 -34.38% |
| 2) Participated in any school-related clubs or activities? | 68 | 39 | -29 -42.65% |
| 3) Volunteered or have done community service for no pay? | 81 | 50 | -31 -38.27% |
| 4) Participated in any volunteer activities through your religious community (e.g., taught class, youth group, outreach program, etc) ? | 54 | 33 | -21 -10.71% |
| 5) Participated in an environmental organization (e.g., trash pick-up, Arbor Day, Earth Day, class project, etc)? | 57 | 31 | -9 -33.89% |
| 6) Participated in a civic or community organization in health or social services (e.g., Blood drive, internship, service learning, rescue, fire or police department, etc)? | 59 | 50 | -9 -15.25% |
| 7) Involved in an organization for youth, children, or education (coach or sponsor, internship or service learning, etc)? | 52 | 40 | -12 -23.08% |
| 8) Belonged to or donated to any group or associations whether locally or nationally such as charities? | 55 | 51 | -4 -7.27% |
| 9) Contacted or visited a public official (at any level of government) to express an opinion? | 15 | 16 | 1 6.67% |
| 10) Contacted a newspaper, radio or television talk show to express your opinion on an issue? | 5 | 6 | 1 20% |
| 11) Took part in a protest, march, or | 7 | 5 | -2 |

| demonstration? | | | -28.57% |
|---|----|----|----------------|
| 12) Signed a written or electronic petition about a social or political issue? | 43 | 40 | -3 -6.98% |
| 13) Ever NOT bought something from a certain company because you disagree with the social or political values of the company that produces it? | 41 | 52 | 11 26.83% |
| 14) Bought something because you like the social or political values of the company that produces or provides it? | 53 | 57 | -4 -7.55% |
| 15) Worked as a canvasser going door to door for a social or political group or candidate? | 2 | 1 | -1 -50.00% |
| 16) Personally walked, ran, or bicycled for a charitable cause this is separate from sponsoring or giving money to such event? | 47 | 20 | -27 -57.45% |
| 17) Registered to vote in your election district? | 61 | 68 | 7 11.48% |
| 18) Voted in local, state or national elections? | 52 | 58 | 6 11.54% |
| 19) Volunteered for a political organization or candidate running for office? | 7 | 6 | -1 -14.29% |
| 20) Tried to convince people to vote for or against a particular candidate or political party. | 21 | 24 | 3 14.29% |
| 21) Displayed a campaign button or sticker (e.g., sticker on your car or a sign in front of your house)? | 25 | 22 | -3 -12.00% |
| 22) Contributed to a campaign political party or group? | 7 | 11 | 4 57.14% |
| 23) Given money, food or shelter to someone not related to you on your own (not as part of or through an organized charity)? | 74 | 69 | -5 -6.76% |
| 24) Utilized multiple media sources to obtain information on social and/or political issues? | 67 | 69 | 2 2.99% |
| 25) Familiar with most current events? | 72 | 80 | 8 11.11% |

If you responded "Yes" to any of the 25 questions <u>Before Attending MECC</u> and "No" to that same question <u>During Your Time at MECC</u>, please indicate the reason for suspending your participation while at MECC.

| 40 demanding course load | <u>10</u> childcare |
|------------------------------|---|
| <u>36</u> family obligations | 12 opinions have changed |
| <u>50</u> work obligations | 10 health issues/concerns |
| 5 transportation problems | 9 don't feel my effort makes a difference |
| 18 no longer required | |

<u>17</u> other, please specify (illness, finances, no extra time, work).

*Note: We believe some of the negative values added in the results of the 2023 ACE survey were due to the lack of involvement during COVID. In the previous ACE survey results (prior to COVID), results had a higher added value.

Appendix D

Course & Curriculum Alignment

2020-2021

Program:

Paralegal Studies

Program Learning Outcomes (PLO): Graduates of this program can:

- 1. Demonstrate effective communication skills through interaction, in person, by telephone, in written and electronic correspondence, with lawyers, clients, witnesses, court personnel, coworkers, and other business professionals, using appropriate legal terminology and formatting.
- 2. Perform basic legal research and analysis necessary to identify legal issues and potential solutions to legal problems.
- 3. Utilize strong organizational skills necessary to sort through and manage information, manually and electronically.
- 4. Apply principles of writing and rules of English grammar to all writing tasks, to be able to prepare legal documents commonly used in the profession.
- 5. Demonstrate competent understanding of computer literacy and proficiency required in the typical law office.
- 6. Apply ethical and professional principles that guide paralegal conduct, including but not limited to: unauthorized practice of law and lawyer supervision of non-lawyers; confidentiality and attorney-client privilege; conflicts of interests; competency; handling of client funds; office decorum and dress.
- 7. Integrate appropriate skills to work effectively and positively with others beginning on the first day of a new job.

| Course | PLO 1 | PLO 2 | PLO 3 | PLO 4 | PLO 5 | PLO 6 | PLO 7 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| LGL 110 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I, D | 1 | I, D |
| LGL 120 | I | | | | | 1 | |
| LGL 115 | | 1 | | | D | 1 | I, D |
| LGL 117 | | I, D | I | | D | 1 | D |
| LGL 127 | I, D | I, D | I, D | I, D | D | | D |
| LGL 216 | D | D | | D | D | | D |
| LGL 218 | | D | | | D | | D |
| LGL 200 | | | | | | D, M | D |
| LGL 225 | | D | | D | D | | |
| LGL 230 | D | D | D | D | D | | |
| AST 265 | D, M | D | D | D, M | D, M | | D, M |
| LGL 290 | D, M | | D | | D, M | | Μ |

Curriculum Map

I = Introduced, D = Developed & Practiced with Feedback, M = Demonstrated at the Mastery Level Appropriate for Graduation

Appendix E

GENERAL EDUCATION CURRICULUM MATRIX VCCS POLICY 5.0.2

| | | | | | | | CCS. | | | 1.2 | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------|
| Date: | h 0 00 | 204 | | | | | or Dis | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ber 8,20 | | t | | Adm | ninistra | ative S | suppo | rt Tecl | nnolog | JY #29 | 8 | | | | | | |
| | Civic Eng graduates | | | ite the a | ability to | : contri | bute to | the civi | c life ar | ıd well-l | being of | f local, i | nationa | l, and g | global c | ommun | ities as l | both as |
| | sponsibilit | | | | | | | | es will d | emonst | rate the | e knowle | edge ar | nd civic | values | necess | sary to b | ecome |
| normed | and cont | nbuung | particip | bants in | a demo | ocratic | society. | | Course | ~ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| ~ | _ | ~ | - | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ST 243 | 244 | 290 | 241 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AST | AST 244 | AST 290 | BUS | ENG 111 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | Ш | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Critical T graduates | | | trate th | e abilit | y to: u | se infoi | rmatior | n, ideas | and a | rgumer | nts fron | n releva | ant pei | rspectiv | ves to i | make se | ense of |
| | issues a | | | | Degree | gradu | ates wi | II locat | e, evalu | uate, in | terpret | , and c | ombin | e infor | mation | to read | ch well- | |
| asone | d conclu | sions o | r solut | ions. | | | | | `ouroo | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Course | 3 | | | | | | [| | |
| 2 | 2 | - | ~ | | ~ | 10 | () | ~ | | | ~ | 2 | ~ | | | | | |
| , | 21 | . 10 | 102 | 107 | . 108 | . 205 | 236 | 243 | . 242 | . 290 | 3 24 | 113 | 111 | | | | | |
| ACC 115 | ACC 21 | AST 101 | AST 102 | AST107 | AST 108 | AST | AST | AST | AST 244 | AST | BUS 241 | MTH 132 | ENG | | | | | |
| roa 3. | Professi | | adinos | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| egree g | graduates | will der | nonstra | ite the a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ehavior. | . Degree | graduat | es will o | demons | strate sk | kills imp | ortant f | | | | n into th | ne work | place a | nd pure | suit of f | urther e | ducatior | ۱. |
| | i | | | | | | | 0 | ourse | s | | | | | | - | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 205 | 243 | 244 | 290 | 241 | ÷ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AST 205 | AST | AST | AST 290 | BUS 241 | ENG 111 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Ш | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| l rea 4:)egree g | Quantita graduates | will der | e racy nonstra | ite the a | ability to | : perfoi | rm accu | irate ca | lculatior | ns, inter | pret qu | antitativ | /e infor | mation | , apply a | and ana | alyze rele | evant |
| | al data, ar on in a va | | | | ort conc | lusions | . Degre | e gradı | uates wi | ll calcul | ate, inte | erpret, a | and use | e nume | rical an | d quant | titative | |
| Ioman | | inety of | setting | 5. | | | | | Course | ~ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Jourse | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 10 | | | | ~ | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | | |
| : 115 | : 215 | 137 | 205 | 236 | 243 | 244 | 290 | H 132 | 111 | | | | | | | | | |
| ACC | ACC | AST | AST | AST | AST | AST | AST | MTF | ENG | | | | | | | | | |
| | Scientifie | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| egree g | graduates | will der | o y nonstra | ite the a | ability to | : apply | the scie | entific n | nethod a | and rela | ated cor | ncepts a | and prir | nciples | to make | e inform | ned decis | sions |
| nd enga | age with is and to ev | ssues re | elated to | o the na | atural, p | hysical | , and so | ocial wo | orld. Deg | gree gra | aduates | will rec | ognize | and kr | now hov | v to us t | the scier | ntific |
| iotriou, a | | aiudie E | mpinua | | | | | ſ | ourse | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| —————————————————————————————————————— | | | | | | | | | /Sui 30 | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | l I | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Courses | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ACC 115 | AST 101 | AST 102 | AST 107 | AST 108 | AST 137 | AST 141 | AST 205 | AST 236 | AST 243 | AST 244 | AST 290 | BUS 241 | ENG 111 | | | | | | |

Updated 6/26/23